

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,065	07/25/2001	David Kuo	50103-368 3370	
7590 02/20/2004			EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH	
Washington, D	C 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1756	

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/912,065	KUO ET AL.	10
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daborah Chacko-Davis	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment whic l (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ation. A proper repl	y to a
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months of the state.	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The apprount of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extensio
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR).	Brief must be filed within the po	eriod set forth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	то арреш.	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTF below).	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelin NOTE:	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for r application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>	econsideration has been consic Continuation Sheet.	lered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becarraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[ild be rejected is provided belov	will be entered ar	ıd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,	ar appointed.	
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15-18</u> .			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	ved or b)☐ disapproved by the	e Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			
S Patent and Trademark Office			

Mus I My

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection and because the argument presented in the amendment filed has been addressed in arguments A and B of the final rejection.